Attachment to Independent Case Review Report For CDRU # 6269 Case file # 95-248383.

Material Examiner:

Malone (RQ)

Remarks:

Case resulted in a trial. Testimony transcript provided.

INDEPE	NDENT CA	SE REV	IEW RE	PORT	
Independent Review conducted by:	Steve Robertso	on			
Area(s) of Expertise: Hair and Fib	er				
Review commenced at: 1:00 PM	(Time),	11/05/01	(Date)	
File#: 95-248383			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	•
Laboratory #(s): 20309089					
	•				
				<u>·</u>	
•	Examiner(s) & Symbo	ols		
Reviewed	Not Reviewed			Reviewed	Not Reviewed
RQ X	0			O	0
UL	x			0	. 0
				ο .	
Trial testimony transcript(s) of:	Material Michael Malone	s Reviewed	i -		
Testimony Date(s): Unkn	10Wn	Pages:	69-80		·
Laboratory Report(s):		-			
Laboratory Number: 203	09089		Date:	May 13, 198	2
Laboratory Number:			Date:		
Laboratory Number:			Date:		
Examiner Bench Notes of: RQ	and unknown tec	hnician			
Laboratory Number:					
				•	
•	Page 1	of <u>3</u>			
• .	Initials:	Suc	/	<u>CRM -</u>	9123

If yes, please id	entify and/or describe the material:	submitting agency letter	dated 3-8-9	32		
) 00, p. 0	ondry and or accorded the material.	odomining agone) letter				
				-		
	Result	s of Review				
File #: .: 95-24	8383 Item or Sp	ecimen # Reviewed: Q	1-Q13, Q17	7-Q23. Q25, K1, K2,		
K6, K7						
	Review of Laboratory	Report(s) and Bench	Notes:			
Note: Numbered comments are required below or on additional pages for any "No" or "Unable to Determine" Responses						
		···				
			able manne	er, based on the		
	examiner perform the appropriate test, protocols, and analytic techniques as	ailable at the time of the	original e	xamination(s)?		
methods	, protocols, and analytic techniques av	vailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Una	original e able to Det	xamination(s)? ermine		
methods	, protocols, and analytic techniques av	vailable at the time of the Yes Down No XD Una various report(s) supporte	original e able to Det	xamination(s)? ermine uately documented in		
methods Are the	, protocols, and analytic techniques average average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average average analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average analytic techniques average analytic techniques average analytic tech	vailable at the time of the Yes Do No XD Una	original enable to Detected and adeq	xamination(s)? ermine uately documented in		
methods Are the	, protocols, and analytic techniques average average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average average and analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average average analytic techniques average and analytic techniques average analytic techniques average analytic techniques average analytic tech	vailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Una coratory report(s) supported Yes X□ No □ Una cof Testimony:	original enable to Detect and adequable to Detect	xamination(s)? ermine uately documented in crmine		
methods Are the control the bence the control the con	examination results set forth in the lab h notes? Review Note: Numbered commentational pages for any "No" o	vailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Una coratory report(s) supported Yes X□ No □ Una cof Testimony:	original enable to Detect and adequable to Detect	xamination(s)? ermine uately documented in crmine		
Are the of the bence	examination results set forth in the lab h notes? Review of additional pages for any "No" of available.	vailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Unstantial No X□ Unstantial Yes X□ No □ Unstantial Yes Yes X□ No □ Unstantial Yes Y□	original enable to Detect and adequable to Detect of Response	ermine uately documented in crimine		
Are the control the bence	nprotocols, and analytic techniques average examination results set forth in the label had notes? Review of the Numbered comment additional pages for any "No" of available. Review of the set forth in the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ United Yes □ No □ United Yes X□ Yes	e original enable to Detect and adequable to Detect on Response	ermine uately documented in crimine Unable to Determine		
Are the other bence the bence Transcript not Testimony co Testimony co	note: Numbered commerce and additional pages for any "No" of available. In a sistent with the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Understory report(s) supported Yes X□ No □ Understory: Into are required below of the Yes X□ Determine' X□ Yes □ Yes	r on Response	wamination(s)? ermine uately documented in crmine undermine Z Unable to Determine		
Are the other bence the bence Transcript not Testimony co Testimony co	nprotocols, and analytic techniques average examination results set forth in the label had notes? Review of the Numbered comment additional pages for any "No" of available. Review of the set forth in the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ United Yes □ No □ United Yes X□ Yes	r on Response	ermine uately documented in crimine Unable to Determine		
Are the other bence the bence Transcript not Testimony co Testimony co	note: Numbered commerce and additional pages for any "No" of available. In a sistent with the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Understory report(s) supported Yes X□ No □ Understory: Into are required below of the Yes X□ Determine' X□ Yes □ Yes	r on Response	wamination(s)? ermine uately documented in crmine undermine Z Unable to Determine		
Are the other bence the bence Transcript not Testimony co Testimony co	note: Numbered commerce and additional pages for any "No" of available. In a sistent with the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Understory report(s) supported Yes X□ No □ Understory: Into are required below of the Yes X□ Determine' X□ Yes □ Yes	r on Response	wamination(s)? ermine uately documented in crmine undermine Z Unable to Determine		
Are the other bence the bence Transcript not Testimony co Testimony co	note: Numbered commerce and additional pages for any "No" of available. In a sistent with the laboratory report(s)?	yailable at the time of the Yes □ No X□ Understory report(s) supported Yes X□ No □ Understory: Into are required below of the Yes X□ Determine' X□ Yes □ Yes	r on Response	wamination(s)? ermine uately documented in crmine undermine Z Unable to Determine		

Comments

(Set forth by above question #, if applicable. Use "Additional Comments" Sheet, if needed)

File #: 95-248383					
#1: With microscopic hai	r comparison, or	ne cannot dete	rmine from the notes	s that the examina	ation was conducted
in an appropriate manner					
#2: Documentation is poo	or. The notes are	not dated, are	in pencil and not in	k and abbreviatio	ns are used that are
difficult to interpret. Som	e of the recovere	ed hair (from (Q5, Q6, Q9, Q20, Q	25) are marked "1	NSFC" (presumably
Not Suitable For Compar	íson) with no do	cumented reas	son why they are not	suitable. The exa	uniner did not report
the presence of hair recov	ered from the Q	1 bed spread (hat did not match vi	ctim or suspect.	
#4: The notes on item Q2	5 indicate one ha	air "=K6(s) =I	(2(v)", while the rep	ort and transcript	say this hair
matches the suspect (K6)	This is probably	y nothing mor	e than a failure to pr	operly mark the e	xaminer's
conclusion.	·				
			· ·		
Review completed at:	2:00 PM	(Time),	11/05/01	(Date)	·
Total time spent conduction	ng review (to nea	arest 1/4 hour)	: 1.00 hr.		
I hereby certify that I condu are fully documented on thi		•	•		esults of my review
•		1 on	(Signature)		(Date)

Page 3 of 3

Initials: Suc